

Home Page



Logo



URL

<http://ndpr.nd.edu/recent-reviews/>

Subject

Philosophy - Reviews - Periodicals

Accessibility

On Subscription basis

Language

English

Publisher

University of Notre Dame (United States)

Brief History

Notre Dame Philosophical Reviews is an online-only peer-reviewed academic journal published by the University of Notre Dame that contains book reviews of books in topics related to philosophy. It was established in 2002. The editors-in-chief are Gary Gutting and Anastasia Friel Gutting.



Scope and Coverage

Notre Dame Philosophical Reviews is entirely devoted to publishing substantive, high-quality book reviews (normal length: 1500-2500 words). Reviews continually appear, usually five to twelve in the course of each week.

Notre Dame Philosophical Reviews' goal is to review a good majority of the scholarly philosophy books issued each year and to have the review appear within six to twelve months of a book's publication. Reviews are commissioned and vetted by a distinguished international Editorial Board. Notre Dame Philosophical Reviews do not accept unsolicited reviews or proposals to review. The journal is published only electronically (available free, through e-mail subscription, RSS feed, and on this website).

Kind of Information

Notre Dame Philosophical Reviews is an online-only peer-reviewed academic journal that contains reviews of books related to philosophy. It contains information like.... Politics of Rights, Studies in Buddhist Philosophy, Essays on Persons/Animals and Identity, Pluralist Philosophy in the Twenty-First Century, Philosophical Essays in the Christian Tradition, Materialism and Immortality, Ethics, Human Nature, information about Aristotle, Plato and Kant, and also other sub-topics related to Philosophy.

<p>10 MOST RECENT REVIEWS</p> <p>DAVID E. ALEXANDER AND DANIEL M. JOHNSON (EDS.) Calvinism and the Problem of Evil Pickwick Reviewed by Jerry L. Walls, Houston Baptist University 2017.01.08</p> <p>DOMINIQUE JANICAUD Heidegger in France Indiana University Press Reviewed by Dennis J. Schmidt, Western Sydney University 2017.01.07</p> <p>MICHAEL BROWNSTEIN AND JENNIFER SAUL (EDS.) Implicit Bias and Philosophy, Volume 1: Metaphysics and Epistemology Oxford University Press Reviewed by Chloé FitzCerald, University of Geneva 2017.01.06</p> <p>KIERAN SETIVA Practical Knowledge: Selected Essays Oxford University Press Reviewed by Halvard Lillehammer, Birkbeck, University of London 2017.01.05</p>	<p>Search NDPR</p> <p>Author</p>  <p>Plato, <i>Republic</i>, oldest known manuscript</p> 	<p>DAVID E. ALEXANDER AND DANIEL M. JOHNSON (EDS.) Calvinism and the Problem of Evil David E. Alexander and Daniel M. Johnson (eds.), <i>Calvinism and the Problem of Evil</i>, Pickwick, 2016, 308pp., \$29.60 (pbk), ISBN 9781620325780.</p> <p>Reviewed by Jerry L. Walls, Houston Baptist University</p> <hr/> <p>This book is both brave and bold. It is brave because most of its contributors defend a position that many Christian philosophers think is indefensible and, in fact, makes the problem of evil even worse than it already is. It is bold because the authors put forward a number of interesting proposals in arguing that their position is not only no worse off than other options on the table, but actually better.</p> <p>The book contains twelve essays and an introduction, and ten of these essays defend Calvinism in one way or another against various forms of the charge that Calvinism exacerbates the problem of evil. In the first essay, Daniel M. Johnson, one of the editors, provides a map of the territory, beginning with an outline of various versions of the first distinctive Calvinist problem of evil, namely, that it makes God the author of sin. Next, he looks at the second distinctive problem, which is how to account for the first sin. Then he turns to the common problem of evil that is shared by all theists, and sketches some responses that Calvinism particularly provides, and he concludes with a brief statement of the "advantages of Calvinism" in dealing with the problem. The essays that follow explore this terrain in more detail. Greg Welty's "Molinist Gunslingers: God and the Authorship of Sin" is an elegantly written and argued case that Molinists face parallel problems in regard to God's responsibility for sin, so they are no better off with regard to this difficulty than their Calvinist counterparts. The next three essays, by Heath White, James E. Bruce, and David E. Alexander, also contend that Calvinism does not make God the author of sin in any objectionable sense. Bruce focuses on the classic Calvinist theologian Francis Turretin. There follows an essay from the noted philosopher Paul Helm, which</p>
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

HERMAN CAPPELEN, TAMAR SZABÓ GENDLER, AND JOHN HAWTHORNE (EDS.)
The Oxford Handbook of Philosophical Methodology
 Herman Cappelen, Tamar Szabó Gendler, and John Hawthorne (eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Philosophical Methodology*, Oxford University Press, 2016, 752pp., \$150.00 (hbk), ISBN 9780199668779.

Reviewed by Matthew C. Haug, The College of William & Mary

What is methodology? The editors of this outstanding and timely volume tell us in the preface that many contributors asked them how exactly they would answer this question, and they say that they gave "deliberately non-committal responses" (v). One potential motivation for the contributors' question is that the word 'methodology' is ambiguous. Etymology suggests that it means the (discipline devoted to the) study of method, but the term is also sometimes used merely as a synonym for the word 'method' itself. In his introductory essay to the book, Josh Dever calls the latter a "lower-order" reading, according to which philosophical methodology includes such things as using thought experiments to test conceptual analyses (3). The former reading is then a "higher-order" one, according to which methodology takes the lower-order reading as its object of study. (Dever also suggests that "the pursuit of a description of reality at the most fundamental level" is one potential statement of what philosophical methodology is on the higher-order reading. This strikes me as a more restrictive "higher-order" reading, since it is merely a more abstract characterization of the lower order – only one kind of result of the study of methods rather than that study itself.) On the lower-order reading, a handbook of philosophical methodology would be a compendium of methods that philosophers actually (or ought to) use. On the higher-order reading, such a handbook would be a critical investigation of these methods, which need not employ tools that philosophers themselves use when they are doing (other) philosophy.

After selecting a particular review, it is seen that the book that is reviewed is comes with its title, Author/editor's name, publication details, price etc. The review article comes with reviewer name & where he/she engaged in working. Sometimes, acknowledgement, references etc are given with the review article.

Special Features

- ❖ One can share a particular review or reviews on any social networking site through the links provided within its home page.

Arrangement Pattern

All the reviews are arranged under two categories 'By Year & By Month'. Then under each year/month reviews are arranged chronologically.

<p>By Year</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2017 (8) 2016 (345) 2015 (408) 2014 (418) 2013 (379) 2012 (426) 2011 (383) 2010 (290) 2009 (366) 2008 (312) 2007 (263) 2006 (250) 2005 (185) 2004 (133) 2003 (160) 2002 (152) <p>By Month</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> January 2017 (8) December 2016 (19) November 2016 (23) October 2016 (23) September 2016 (25) August 2016 (40) July 2016 (27) June 2016 (30) May 2016 (29) April 2016 (26) January 2012 (39) December 2011 (27) November 2011 (28) October 2011 (29) September 2011 (33) August 2011 (38) July 2011 (40) June 2011 (28) May 2011 (24) April 2011 (12) December 2006 (16) November 2006 (19) October 2006 (22) September 2006 (24) August 2006 (23) July 2006 (20) June 2006 (22) May 2006 (22) April 2006 (20) March 2006 (22) 	<p>ARISTOTLE, C. D. C. REEVE (TR.) <i>Metaphysics</i> Blackett Reviewed by Matthew Duncombe, University of Nottingham 2016.12.19</p> <p>HUGO DROCHON <i>Nietzsche's Great Politics</i> Princeton University Press Reviewed by Andrew Huddleston, Birkbeck, University of London 2016.12.18</p> <p>ROMAN ALTSHULER AND MICHAEL J. SIGRIST (EDS.) <i>Time and the Philosophy of Action</i> Routledge Reviewed by Timothy Cleveland, New Mexico State University 2016.12.17</p> <p>CATHERINE MALABOU <i>Before Tomorrow: Epigenesis and Rationality</i> Polity Reviewed by Christopher Watkin, Monash University 2016.12.16</p>
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Remarks

Notre Dame Philosophical Reviews is part of the parent organization University of Notre Dame that publishes highly quality book reviews continuously mostly on Philosophy. Any material appearing in the journal may be copied for educational use provided proper credit is given to the author and the journal.

Comparable Tools

- CJ Online Reviews (<https://cj.camws.org/reviews.php>)
- Hypatia Reviews Online (<http://hypatiaphilosophy.org/HRO/>)
- Philosophy in Review (<http://journals.uvic.ca/index.php/pir/index>)

Date of Access

16th January, 2017.